

The Irish and the silence about Europe

by Ida Magli

Il Giornale | 02/10/2009

Someone says that in Italy the press is not free, while someone else says that in no other country as in Italy the journalists are so free to say whatever they think. Someone should then explain to the Italians who was it that decided that any information about the political events of the European Union has to be tightly censored. The approval of the Lisbon Treaty is extremely important for all of us: it will be decided in a referendum that will be held tomorrow (october 3rd) in Ireland.

This general silence about the referendum is quite suspicious and gives a feeling of anguish, since it is difficult to find an explanation for it. First of all, why are the media so silent about it, regardless of their political position? The people who ordered not to talk about it seem to have a power that is above that of the political Parties. And why is it forbidden to talk about the Treaty?

The Italian State already has approved the Lisbon Treaty, without allowing the slightest discussion about it. This was done with the help of the most anti-democratic rule of our Constitution: "*foreign policy cannot be submitted to the citizens' will*". But since we are talking about the European Constitution, which has been transformed into a Treaty, it is a real fraud to talk about "foreign policy" in this case.

We are also surprised because even the Italian Opposition remained silent on the matter; this is strange because usually they clamorously oppose any decision made by the Berlusconi government. What does Santoro think about this silence, since he is a former European deputy? We have the right to know, we *want* to know who commanded him to be silent. *Who* ordered that he and all the other anchormen have to be silent on the Treaty, and why.

One year ago, the Irish rejected the Treaty in a referendum. Now they are called again to vote in a second referendum on the same matter. The outcome is uncertain, although the chiefs of the European Union took advantage of the economic crisis - which strongly hit also Dublin - to give them generous financing and help using our money (that goes without saying), hoping to soften the Irish people. After all, the technique of "bribing" the acceptance of the European Union with any kind of gratuity is a common rule. It has been used especially to convince the Eastern States of Europe that, after their terrible experience under the Soviet rule, are extremely reluctant to give up again their newly acquired independence to the E.U.

Even if the Treaty will pass the Irish test, it would not be effective, because it still has to be ratified by the Czech republic, from Poland and from an independent region of Finland, the Aland archipelagos.

The Czech president, Vaclac Klaus, always opposed the European Union (he is a poet, after all) and he has no intention of signing the Treaty, which has already been approved by the Parliament, before knowing what the Irish people have decided about it. The same goes for the Polish president, Lech Kaczinski.

In Prague, the opposition tried hard to hinder the application of the Treaty, even making an appeal to their constitutional Court. But in every country where this appeal has been filed in the last years, the Constitutional Courts never accepted the objections made by those who oppose the Euro and the European unification (first of all the Germans). The European Union is a Leviathan, which was built by the men in power in spite of any existing juridical rule, and nobody dares to object not only to its legitimacy but also to the logic that is supporting it.

How can otherwise be explained the absurd anger of the people that are menacing to sue Klaus for "scarce productivity", because he refused to sign the Treaty?

Considering this very complex situation, we are wondering why this Treaty - which is so important for the governors that is driving them crazy - does not seem to be important at all for those who are responsible of information and media.

There are very good reasons for this silence. Its purpose is to hide the fact that we are transferring to foreigners the greatest part of the independence and sovereignty of our Nation. Its purpose is also to hide some rules that do not exist in the Constitutions of the European States, first of all in the Italian one. One example will be enough: "death penalty

in case of war, or in case of war danger, and the killing of citizens in order to avoid the spreading of insurrections or riots”.

These rules clearly show that the European Union is taking precautions in order to rule over an Empire where the unification of so many different Peoples - coldly planned - is expected to produce an unavoidable rebellion and the eruption of extremely severe inner conflicts. This is how was born yet another tyrannic empire in Europe.

Ida Magli

Rome, October 1st, 2009

(traduzione di Marina Mascetti)