

The Asylum Right is a pretence that UNO alone likes

"Il Giornale", September 15th, 2009

Mrs. Navi Pillay, the UNO high Commissioner for Human Rights, during a speech that will be pronounced today (but the text has already been given to the Press) attacked the current policy towards the migrants, especially in the Mediterranean area, in the Aden Gulf, in the Caribbean and in the Indian Ocean; she believes that this policy is violating the International Laws.

This speech - of course - lighted up the polemics against the rejection of migrants in Italy, although the Interior Minister, Mr. Maroni, has declared several times that the Italian laws are obeying the International rules. And this should be enough to calm down the innumerable Saint Francesco who are living in Italy.

But it is self-evident that the International laws are not adequate to reality (it happens with any law) and they have to be reviewed.

The Right of Asylum, for example, was created long time ago, when exile was the maximum punishment that could be given to a citizen. This penalty was linked to the sacred value of Motherland, which would have been violated by the impurity of a man guilty of treason or homicide.

This is the reason why a different Homeland had the duty of giving shelter to the exiled man: she did not have the same sacred value, since it was not his Motherland, and therefore there would not be any violation of sacrality. It is clear that it was a matter of single cases and circumstances: it is not possible to think of an Asylum right for large numbers of people. Today, instead, we are pretending that these are all "single cases", although the exile penalty does not exist anymore. But make-believing is not a good attitude and there is no way-out: it is necessary to reconsider the whole matter of the Asylum rights.

Our migration problem is due to the high number of people involved; politicians and lawmakers must face this fact with a realistic approach, *thinking about numbers*. They must cancel from their speeches the rhetoric of "human rights" which ignores the *real* world. Human rights are managed by men, not by gods, nor by politicians who believe to be gods.

Now let us face *reality*. Some politicians and associations (mostly left-wing politicians and priests, including Catholic ones) devised a project of "equality" and "globalization", which is stimulating mass migration and wants to 'mix' together different Peoples.

This project is clashing against the feelings, the interests and the values of the single Peoples. This is why the Left is losing votes, why it is condemned to become a minority and then to disappear, as recently happened in Italy.

It is necessary to devise a project involving the Peoples who are now choosing to migrate even if it is not necessary, in order to persuade them and *to help them in their own countries*. This would be the easier path to follow in order to improve their lives. We should invest in this project the same amount of money that we are now spending *to support them in our own countries*.

We have powerful and widespread Media, we have operating networks that could be sent on the spot to organize, to teach and to achieve results. Let us stop treating these People as if they could not be able to do the same things that we are doing. It is a matter of teaching the know-how and then to start *to do* something. There are no "poor countries", but countries that are not able to make the most of the goods and wealth they have. Even tribal fights would come to an end (or would be reduced) if those countries had jobs, productions and some wealth to protect. This is the goal that we desire for them and for us.

Ida Magli

(trad. Marina Mascetti)